



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/802,700

03/17/2004

Christopher W. Blackburn

1842.028US1

3791

70648

7590

09/15/2009

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER/WMS GAMING

P.O. BOX 2938

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER

RUSTEMEYER, MALINA K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3714

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/15/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@slwip.com

request@slwip.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/802,700	Applicant(s) BLACKBURN ET AL.	
	Examiner Malina K. Rustemeyer	Art Unit 3714	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 June 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 and 11-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 and 11-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn. This office action is in response to applicant's response filed on 6/03/09. Claims 1-7 and 11-21 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-7 and 11-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cordero (US 2001/0044339 A1) in view of Gatto et al. (US 6916247).

Concerning claims 1, 6, 15 and 20, Cordero et al. discloses a method and system for providing a name service in a gaming network including gaming machines and a gaming client communicably coupled to the gaming network; a name service communicably coupled to the gaming network [0044] operable to: instantiating a name service on the gaming network [0044]; sending service information for the name service from the name service to a discovery agent on the gaming network [0045], wherein the name service provides identification services using common names for devices on the gaming network to a plurality of gaming clients communicably coupled to the gaming network, the gaming clients including one or more gaming machines [0046];

Art Unit: 3714

determining if the name service is authentic and authorized **[0012/0036]**; in response to determining that the name service is authentic and authorized, publishing service information to a service repository to make the name service available on the gaming network **[0047]**; receiving by the discovery agent a request for the location of the name service from a gaming client **[0048]**; returning the service information for the name service to the gaming client **[0048]**; sending one or more service requests using the service information from the gaming client to the name service **[0048/0051]**; and processing the one or more service requests between the gaming client and the service **[0049]**, said service requests conforming to an internetworking protocol **[0029]**.

Cordero lacks teaching the system including wagering games and lacks teaching details of security and authentication. Gatto teaches wherein in response to a wager at a gaming machine of the plurality of gaming machines the gaming machine depicts indicia representative of a randomly selected outcome of a wagering game **[column 3, lines 20-51]**. Gatto also discloses determining by the discovery agent if the name service is authentic and authorized **[column 2, lines 54-61]**. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the authentication system and gaming devices as disclosed by Gatto into the name service gaming network disclosed by Cordero because the substitution of one known element for another would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

Concerning claims 2 and 16, Cordero teaches that the name service comprises a web service **[0045]**.

Concerning claims 3-5, and 17-19, Cordero lacks teaching details of the service description language. Gatto teaches that the service request is formatted according to

Art Unit: 3714

a service description language, that the service description language is a Web Services Description Language (WSDL), or that the service is registered in a UDDI registry **[column 15, lines 33-67]**. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the specific service description language as disclosed by Gatto into the name service gaming network disclosed by Cordero because the substitution of one known element for another would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

Concerning claims 7 and 21, Cordero lacks teaching details of the service location. Gatto teaches that the service is a local service in the gaming network **[column 14, lines 33-55]**; that the service is provided at a well known location, the well known location comprises a TCP/IP address and port **[column 3, lines 20-24]**; that the well known location comprises a message queue **[column 15, lines 63-67]**; the well known location comprises a public method invokable by the gaming client **[column 15, lines 33-67]**. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the specific service location as disclosed by Gatto into the name service gaming network disclosed by Cordero because the substitution of one known element for another would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

Concerning claims 11-14, Cordero lacks teaching details of file name binding. Gatto teaches returning a binding to the gaming client, wherein the binding comprises a TCP/IP binding, a URL binding, or a file name binding **[column 14, lines 3-8]**. Gatto teaches a plug and play binding, however, discloses other binding protocols may be used as well. One skilled in the art would know to substitute TCP/IP, URL, file name, or

Art Unit: 3714

plug and play as they are obvious variants of one another. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the file name binding as disclosed by Gatto into the name service gaming network disclosed by Cordero because the substitution of one known element for another would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

Examiner's Note

4. The referenced citations made in the rejection(s) above are intended to exemplify areas in the prior art document(s) in which the examiner believed are the most relevant to the claimed subject matter. However, it is incumbent upon the applicant to analyze the prior art document(s) in its/their entirety since other areas of the document(s) may be relied upon at a later time to substantiate examiner's rationale of record. A prior art reference must be considered in its entirety, i.e., as a whole, including portions that would lead away from the claimed invention. W.L. Gore & associates, Inc. v. Garlock, Inc., 721 F.2d 1540, 220 USPQ 303 (Fed. Cir. 1983), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 851 (1984). However, "the prior art's mere disclosure of more than one alternative does not constitute a teaching away from any of these alternatives because such disclosure does not criticize, discredit, or otherwise discourage the solution claimed...." In re Fulton, 391 F.3d 1195, 1201, 73 USPQ2d 1141, 1146 (Fed. Cir. 2004).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 and 11-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Malina K. Rustemeyer whose telephone number is (571)270-1297. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 7 AM - 6 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John M Hotaling II/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714

Malina K. Rustemeyer
Examiner
Art Unit 3714

/M. K. R./
Examiner, Art Unit 3714